

Enhancement of Persistent Currents by the Coulomb Interaction

D. Yoshioka and H. Kato

Institute of Physics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo,

Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The persistent current in three-dimensional mesoscopic rings is investigated numerically. The model is tight-binding one with random site-energies and interaction between electrons. The Hartree-Fock approximation is adopted for the interaction between electrons, and models with up to $3 \times 4 \times 40$ sites are investigated. It is shown that the long-range Coulomb interaction enhances the persistent current considerably for rings with finite width. It is also shown that long-rangedness of the interaction is essential for the enhancement. Screening of the random site-energy is attributed to this enhancement.

72.10.-d, 71.27.+a, 72.15.Rn

It has been predicted by Büttiker *et al.* [1] that an equilibrium persistent current flows in a mesoscopic normal-metal ring threaded by a magnetic flux. This prediction has been experimentally confirmed [2–4]. Especially, the experiment on single Au ring [3] revealed that the size of the persistent current observed was quite large. Namely the observed current was $I = (0.3 \sim 2.0)I_0$, where $I_0 = ev_F/L$ is of the order of the persistent current in an ideal one-dimensional ring with v_F being the Fermi velocity and L being the circumference of the ring. The current is periodic in the magnetic flux ϕ piercing the ring with period $\phi_0 = h/e$ as expected. Thus the persistent current was predicted theoretically and confirmed by the experiment. However, this is not the whole story. Quantitative understanding of the current has not been established.

It has been clarified by numerical [5,6] and theoretical [7] investigations that theory without taking into account the interaction between electrons can give current which is too small compared to the experiments [2,3]. Inclusion of the mutual interaction into theory is hard and difficult to assess the validity of the approximation employed, but several groups [8,9] have done such calculations, and obtained results that sample averaged current is of the order of $(l_e/L)I_0$. In this case the current is periodic in $\phi_0/2$, because sample averaging kills the component with period ϕ_0 . This current is much smaller than I_0 in the experimental situation of $L \gg l_e$, where l_e is the elastic mean free path. In these theories the Coulomb interaction acts mainly to maintain the local charge neutrality of the system. Another theory by Kopietz [10] takes into account long-range Coulomb interaction energy associated with the charge fluctuation. This theory gives the average persistent current of the order of $0.1I_0$ with period $\phi_0/2$. This value is large enough to explain the experiments [2]. However, since the fluctuation should be suppressed by the Coulomb interaction itself to maintain the approximate local charge neutrality, it is not yet certain that such large value survives improvement of the theory.

On the other hand, numerical investigation is free from approximations, and has a possibility to give a clear answer to the origin of the large persistent current. However, unfortunately rigorous treatments are limited to quite small system sizes. Thus until now only

small one-dimensional systems have been investigated with negative answer to the role of the Coulomb interaction [11–13]. Namely, it has been clarified that in one-dimensional systems the repulsive interaction between electrons suppress the persistent current in situations relevant to the experiment. We have also investigated the one-dimensional system with the Coulomb interaction between electrons [14]. Our results were the same as others. However, we also found that the Hartree-Fock approximation gives almost the same results as those of the exact diagonalization. The merit of the Hartree-Fock approximation is that we can deal with much larger systems. Thus we now apply our method to three-dimensional rings to investigate the effect of the Coulomb interaction.

In the present work we have found that for the three-dimensional ring the Coulomb interaction enhances the persistent current. We have clarified conditions for the enhancement. We have found that long-rangedness of the Coulomb interaction is essential to this enhancement: Short-ranged interaction does not enhance the current. We also found a condition for the cross section of the ring to have the enhancement.

We consider the Anderson model with Coulomb interaction between electrons. For simplicity we neglect spin freedom of electrons. Thus we consider a tight binding model on a cubic lattice. Our model ring consists of $N_\ell \times N_w \times N_h$ sites: circumference $N_\ell a$, width $N_w a$, and height $N_h a$, where a is the lattice constant. The coordinate of the i -th site is given by three integers (ℓ_i, w_i, h_i) , where $1 \leq \ell_i \leq N_\ell$, $1 \leq w_i \leq N_w$, and $1 \leq h_i \leq N_h$. Each site has random site-energy ε_i , which has uniform distribution between $-W/2$ and $W/2$. Thus our Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & - \sum_{i,j} (t_{i,j} e^{i\theta_{i,j}} c_i^\dagger c_j + h.c.) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i c_i^\dagger c_i \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} V \frac{a}{r_{ij}} (c_i^\dagger c_i - \rho_0)(c_j^\dagger c_j - \rho_0), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $c_i^\dagger (c_i)$ is the creation (destruction) operator of a spinless electron, $t_{i,j}$ is non-zero and equal to t only for nearest neighbor hopping, $\theta_{i,j}$ is non-zero only for hopping along the circumference of the ring and has a value $2\pi\phi/N_\ell\phi_0$, $V = e^2/4\pi\epsilon a$ is the strength of the Coulomb interaction, and ρ_0 is neutralizing positive charge at each site. To make a ring

which has the same lattice constant everywhere we have embedded our three-dimensional ring in the four-dimensional space, so the distance r_{ij} between i, j sites is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{a}\right)^2 = & 2R^2\{1 - \cos[\frac{2\pi}{N_\ell}(\ell_i - \ell_j)]\} \\ & + (w_i - w_j)^2 + (h_i - h_j)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $R = [2\sin(\pi/N_\ell)]^{-1}$ is the radius of the ring.

The following treatment is the same as before [14]: The interaction term is decoupled following standard Hartree-Fock scheme by introducing the average $\langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle$, which is determined self-consistently by iteration. Once the ground state energy $E_g(\phi)$ is determined self-consistently, the persistent current $I(\phi)$ is given by the derivative of the energy $E_g(\phi)$ with respect to the magnetic flux:

$$I(\phi) = -\frac{\partial E_g(\phi)}{\partial \phi}, \quad (3)$$

Since $E_g(\phi)$ is an even function of ϕ and periodic with period ϕ_0 , we first Fourier analyze the energy,

$$E(\phi) = E_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \cos(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}), \quad (4)$$

and obtain the Fourier components of the current:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi n}{\phi_0} E_n \sin(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \frac{t}{\phi_0} \sin(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here I_n is a dimensionless number, which depends on realization of the random site-energy and the strength of the Coulomb interaction. In the following we mainly concentrate on the ϕ_0 -periodic component, I_1 .

We have investigated up to 480-site systems by this method, the largest being $N_\ell = 40$, $N_w = 4$, and $N_h = 3$ with 200 electrons. The randomness is chosen such that the sample is in the diffusive regime, which is realized around $W/t = 2$ for the samples we have considered. The results for the largest four samples are shown in Figs.1, 2 and 3.

First in Fig.1 dependence on the randomness is shown for the *non-interacting* case to clarify the situation before we take the interaction into account. An arrow indicates the value of $I_1 = 4\pi/N_\ell$. The size of this current $(4\pi/N_\ell)t\phi_0$ is equal to $ev_F/L = I_0$, if we use $v_F = 2|t|a/\hbar$; namely it indicates the size of the persistent current for a pure one-dimensional ring of the same circumference. Figure 2 shows how the interaction enhances the current. It is seen that the interaction enhances the current beyond the value of the pure 1-d system, I_0 . On the other hand Fig.3 shows the results obtained by replacing the long-range Coulomb interaction by short ranged one, namely $V \times (a/r_{ij})$ is replaced by $V\delta(r_{ij} - a)$. It has the same value for the nearest neighbor but vanishes otherwise. The results show essentially no enhancement for this case. This comparison clarifies the origin of the enhancement: long-rangedness is essential for the enhancement. Quite similar results have been obtained for smaller samples ($33 \times 3 \times 2$ sites and $16 \times 3 \times 2$ sites). It should be noticed that the sign of I_1 is not fixed: In these figures the positive values are shown by open symbols and negative ones are shown by closed symbols. It can be both positive (paramagnetic) or negative (diamagnetic) depending on samples.

Since our present result is opposite to that of one-dimensional system, we investigated the boundary between the enhancement and the suppression. Both two-dimensional and tree-dimensional rings were examined. For the two-dimensional system, N_w was kept to unity and N_h was increased from one to six. Definite enhancement by V/t was observed for systems with $N_h \geq 4$. For the three-dimensional system, N_w was kept to two and N_h is increased from one to three. It was found that $N_h \geq 2$ is enough to have the enhancement. Thus in both cases enhancement occurs for systems with $N_w \times N_h \geq 4$; otherwise the current is suppressed.

In the present work we have shown for the first time that the Coulomb interaction can enhance the persistent current beyond the value for an ideal one-dimensional ring, I_0 [15]. Then the next question will be if the parameters used here are relevant to the experimental situation or not. We think that choice of the randomness W/t poses no question. We need to be in the diffusive regime, and within this regime V/t dependence is qualitatively similar.

Appropriate choice of V/t is a difficult question, since size of our system is still too small compared to the experiment, where about 10^8 atoms are involved. Here we only comment that if our system is actually made of Au atoms, t is of the order of 1eV, and bare Coulomb energy between one lattice constant is larger than t : $V = e^2/4\pi\epsilon a \simeq 5eV > t$. So even if we consider the optical dielectric constant $\epsilon(\infty)$ by ion core, V and t are of the same order. We have found strong enhancement even when V is one order of magnitude smaller than t . In the actual system the interaction is screened by electrons, but such screening effect is included in our self-consistent solution. We need the flux dependence of the total ground state energy which includes the energy associated with the screening. Therefore use of the long-range Coulomb interaction is needed and justified.

Our treatment is not exact as we use the Hartree-Fock approximation. We justify it in two ways. Firstly, as shown in ref. [14] the results by our Hartree-Fock approximation is consistent with those by the exact diagonalization for small one-dimensional systems. Secondly, in this work we have clarified that the long-range part of the interaction is essential for the enhancement. It is expected that correlation effects beyond the Hartree-Fock approximation mainly affects the short-range behavior. However, since we consider spinless electrons, main part of the short-range correlation, on-site correlation, has already been taken into account. Thus we expect that our present result is almost the same as what would be obtained if the correlation were taken into account exactly.

The final question will be the mechanism of the enhancement. One possible explanation is the screening of the random potential. The electron at the Fermi level feels screened site-energy which is flattened, so the current is enhanced. In the case of one-dimensional system the screening is also effective, but electrons are not allowed to pass through each other, thus the current is suppressed by localization of some of electrons. When the cross section of the ring is small enough (e.g. $N_w \times N_h < 4$), this blockade is still effective. This explanation is justified as follows. We have examined which term in the Hamiltonian mainly contribute to the current. We found that the contribution from the first two terms of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1), i.e. the single-particle terms,

$I_s(\phi) = -(\partial/\partial\phi)\langle -\sum_{i\neq j}(t_{i,j}e^{i\theta_{ij}}c_i^\dagger c_j + h.c.) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle$, amounts to about 90% of the total current, where $\langle \rangle$ means expectation values with respect to the self-consistent ground state. The remaining 10% of the current comes from the interaction term of the Hamiltonian which consists of the Hartree contribution, $I_H(\phi) = -(\partial/\partial\phi)\sum_{i\neq j} V(a/2r_{ij})\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle \langle c_j^\dagger c_j \rangle$, and the Fock contribution, $I_F(\phi) = (\partial/\partial\phi)\sum_{i\neq j} V(a/2r_{ij})\langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle \langle c_j^\dagger c_i \rangle$, the latter being usually smaller than the former. Thus the enhancement is caused mainly by the single particle part of the Hamiltonian. This means that the ground state is quite different from that of the non-interacting system, where we get quite small persistent current. We expect that the electron states near the Fermi level are more like those of the system with $W = V = 0$. This explains why the theories using the diagrammatic expansion [8,9,16,17] could not get the enhancement: Since the ground state has changed considerably from that without the Coulomb interaction, perturbational calculation cannot give correct answer.

In conclusion we have shown for the first time that the persistent current is enhanced beyond the ideal one-dimensional value by the long-range Coulomb interaction for three-dimensional rings. We have clarified that a ring with finite cross section and long-rangedness of the interaction are necessary for the enhancement. We attribute the enhancement to the screening of the random site-energies.

One of the authors (D. Y.) thanks Aspen Center for Physics where part of this work was done. The numerical calculation was done by HITAC S-3800/480 at the Computer Center of the University of Tokyo.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. **96A**, 365 (1983).
- [2] L. P. Lévy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, and H. Bouchiat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2074 (1990).
- [3] V. Chandrasekhar, R. A. Webb, M. J. Brady, M. B. Ketchen, W. J. Gallagher, and A. Kleinsasser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3578 (1991).
- [4] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier, and A. Benoit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2020 (1993).
- [5] H. Bouchiat and G. Montambaux, J. Phys. (Paris) **50**, 2695 (1989).
- [6] G. Montambaux, H. Bouchiat, D. Sigeti, and R. Friesner, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 7647 (1990).
- [7] A. Altland, S. Iida, A. Müller-Groeling and H.A. Weidenmüller, Europhys. Lett. **20**, 155 (1992), and Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **219**, 148 (1992).
- [8] V. Ambegaokar and U. Eckern, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 381 (1990).
- [9] A. Schmid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 80 (1991).
- [10] P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. Lett **70**, 3123 (1993).
- [11] M. Abraham and R. Berkovits, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1509 (1993).
- [12] G. Bouzerar, D. Poilblanc, and G. Montambaux, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 8258 (1994).
- [13] A.O. Gogolin and N.V. Prokof'ev, to be published in Phys. Rev. B, and A.O. Gogolin, to be published in Ann. de Physique.
- [14] H. Kato and D. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 4943 (1994).
- [15] Enhancement of the persistent current by screened Coulomb interaction is claimed by A. Müller-Groeling and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 4752 (1994). However, since they also obtained enhancement for one-dimensional system that contradict the results of exact diagonalization [11,12], validity of their approximation is questionable.

- [16] F. von Oppen and E. K. Riedel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 84 (1991).
- [17] B. L. Altshuler, Y. Gefen, and Y. Imry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 88 (1991).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Fourier component of the persistent current with period ϕ_0 is plotted as a function of the site randomness W/t for the non-interacting system ($V/t = 0$). The four different symbols, circle, two kinds of triangles, and square, indicate four different realization of the randomness. The absolute values of the current are plotted with the open (closed) symbols indicating that the value is positive (negative). An arrow with letter I_0 indicates the value of I_1 where $I_1 t / \phi_0 = I_0$.

FIG. 2. Fourier component of the persistent current with period ϕ_0 is plotted as a function of the strength of the Coulomb interaction V/t for the randomness $W/t = 2.5$. The same four samples in Fig.1 are used with the same symbols. Here also the open (closed) symbols mean that the value is positive (negative). An arrow with letter I_0 indicates the value of I_1 where $I_1 t / \phi_0 = I_0$.

FIG. 3. Fourier component of the persistent current with period ϕ_0 is plotted as a function of the strength of the short-range interaction V/t for the randomness $W/t = 2.5$. The same four samples in Figs.1 and 2 are used with the same symbols. Here also the open (closed) symbols mean that the value is positive (negative).